
 Over here in Kenosha County, this appointment would never have been made. Our County Board would have met with the elected lake association, and talked about options. There is never just one person under consideration for any appointment such as this. Mr. Schultz is likely just a mole for the DNR, as they lost a landmark State Supreme Court case, and now refuse to follow the court's order because, look, even the Lake District Board is not in full agreement. How convenient. I am betting another shoe will drop soon - probably an email from a DNR beaureaucrat to a county board supervisor, asking them to make this appointment. Then it will be up to either the DNR Secretary or Governor Walker to fire that state employee. Act 10 lives.

By Long\_Time\_Gone at 2:58 pm Saturday, December 12, 2015 | [Report Abuse](#)


---

 Anytime someone has a different point of view, some folks take it as an 'attack'. The water level is a long established battle, Schultz is not the problem.

Mr. Schultz, do NOT give up your legally appointed position. The lake board should take this up with the Rock County Board, they appointed him.

By wislady at 8:58 am Friday, December 11, 2015 | [Report Abuse](#)

---

 So if you are elected it's ok to have your own opinion but if appointed you must follow the herd.

By Turkeyman at 7:40 am Friday, December 11, 2015 | [Report Abuse](#)

---